1 2 3 4 5	PETER B. MORRISON (SBN 230148) peter.morrison@skadden.com VIRGINIA F. MILSTEAD (SBN 234578) virginia.milstead@skadden.com SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOI 300 South Grand Avenue, Suite 3400 Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 687-5000 Facsimile: (213) 687-5600	M LLP
6	JOHN NEUKOM (SBN 275887)	
7	john.neukom@skadden.com SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOI 525 University Avenue, Suite 1400	M LLP
8	Palo Alto, California 94301	
9	Telephone: (650) 470-4500 Facsimile: (650) 470-4570	
10		
11	Attorney for Defendants Ripple Labs Inc., XRP II, LLC, Bradley	
12	Garlinghouse, Christian Larsen, Ron Will,	
13	Susan Athey, Zoe Cruz, Ken Kurson, Ben	
14	,	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
15 16	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA CASE NO.: 3:18-cv-06753-PJH
16	IN RE RIPPLE LABS INC. LITIGATION	
16 17		CASE NO.: 3:18-cv-06753-PJH
16 17 18	IN RE RIPPLE LABS INC. LITIGATION VLADI ZAKINOV, DAVID OCONER, & AVNER GREENWALD, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	CASE NO.: 3:18-cv-06753-PJH DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO STANDING ORDER REGARDING
16 17 18 19 20 21	IN RE RIPPLE LABS INC. LITIGATION VLADI ZAKINOV, DAVID OCONER, & AVNER GREENWALD, individually and on	CASE NO.: 3:18-cv-06753-PJH DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO STANDING ORDER REGARDING
16 17 18 19 20	IN RE RIPPLE LABS INC. LITIGATION VLADI ZAKINOV, DAVID OCONER, & AVNER GREENWALD, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v.	CASE NO.: 3:18-cv-06753-PJH DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO STANDING ORDER REGARDING
16 17 18 19 20 21	IN RE RIPPLE LABS INC. LITIGATION VLADI ZAKINOV, DAVID OCONER, & AVNER GREENWALD, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. RIPPLE LABS INC., et al.,	CASE NO.: 3:18-cv-06753-PJH DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO STANDING ORDER REGARDING
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	IN RE RIPPLE LABS INC. LITIGATION VLADI ZAKINOV, DAVID OCONER, & AVNER GREENWALD, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v.	CASE NO.: 3:18-cv-06753-PJH DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO STANDING ORDER REGARDING
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	IN RE RIPPLE LABS INC. LITIGATION VLADI ZAKINOV, DAVID OCONER, & AVNER GREENWALD, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. RIPPLE LABS INC., et al.,	CASE NO.: 3:18-cv-06753-PJH DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO STANDING ORDER REGARDING
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	IN RE RIPPLE LABS INC. LITIGATION VLADI ZAKINOV, DAVID OCONER, & AVNER GREENWALD, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. RIPPLE LABS INC., et al.,	CASE NO.: 3:18-cv-06753-PJH DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO STANDING ORDER REGARDING
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	IN RE RIPPLE LABS INC. LITIGATION VLADI ZAKINOV, DAVID OCONER, & AVNER GREENWALD, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. RIPPLE LABS INC., et al.,	CASE NO.: 3:18-cv-06753-PJH DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO STANDING ORDER REGARDING
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	IN RE RIPPLE LABS INC. LITIGATION VLADI ZAKINOV, DAVID OCONER, & AVNER GREENWALD, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. RIPPLE LABS INC., et al.,	CASE NO.: 3:18-cv-06753-PJH DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO STANDING ORDER REGARDING
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	IN RE RIPPLE LABS INC. LITIGATION VLADI ZAKINOV, DAVID OCONER, & AVNER GREENWALD, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. RIPPLE LABS INC., et al.,	CASE NO.: 3:18-cv-06753-PJH DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO STANDING ORDER REGARDING

RESPONSE TO STANDING ORDER RE REMOVED CASES

1

6

5

14

17

18

21

25

27

In response to the Court's Standing Order re Removed Cases, Defendants Ripple Labs Inc. ("Ripple"), XRP II, LLC ("XRP"), Bradley Garlinghouse, Christian Larsen, Ron Will, Antoinette O'Gorman, Eric van Miltenburg, Susan Athey, Zoe Cruz, Ken Kurson, Ben Lawsky, Anja Manuel, and Takashi Okita (collectively, "Defendants") submit the following information:

- 1. All Defendants served at the time of removal joined in the Notice of Removal.
- 2. The Notice of Removal was not dated more than thirty days after the first defendant was served with an order from which it could be ascertained that this action became removable. (ECF No. 2) Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(3), "if the case stated by the initial pleading is not 9 removable, a notice of removal may be filed within thirty days after receipt by the defendant, 10 through service or otherwise, of a copy of an . . . order or other paper from which it may first be 11 ascertained that the case is one which is or has become removable." This action was initially not 12 removable, but it became removable on October 31, 2018, when the judge of the Superior Court of California for the County of San Mateo entered an order consolidating <u>Greenwald vs. Ripple Labs</u> Inc., No. 18-CIV-03461 (Cal. Super. Ct., San Mateo Cty.) with In re Ripple Labs Inc Litigation, 15 Lead Case No. 18-CIV-02845 (Cal. Super. Ct., San Mateo Cty.) ("Consolidation Order"). The 16 Notice of Removal was dated November 7, 2018, less than thirty days after the court entered the Consolidation Order.
 - 3. Defendants did not remove this action on the grounds of diversity jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a). Defendants removed this action pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act ("CAFA"), 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d), 1453. CAFA provides that a class action meeting its requirements may be removed "without regard to whether any defendant is a citizen of the State in which the action is brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1453(b). As set forth more fully in Defendants' Notice of Removal (ECF No. 2), the action meets CAFA's requirements because it is a worldwide putative class action brought on behalf of more than 100 alleged class members, in which minimal diversity exists between any member of the putative class of plaintiffs and Defendants and more than \$5 million is in controversy. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d)(2), (d)(2)(A), (d)(5)(B).
 - 4. Defendants did not remove this action on the grounds of diversity jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a). Defendants removed this action pursuant to CAFA, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d), 1453. CAFA requires only minimal diversity. Minimal diversity under CAFA exists when "any

1	member of a class of plaintiffs is a citizen of a State different from any defendant" or when "ar	
2	member of a class of plaintiffs is a citizen of a foreign state and any defendant is a citize	
3	of a State." 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A), (B). Plaintiffs Zakinov and Oconer are purported	
4	citizens of California. Plaintiff Greenwald is purportedly a resident of Israel. The putative class	
5	Plaintiffs purport to represent consists of citizens of states throughout the United States and the	
6	world. Defendant Takashi Okita is a citizen of Japan, Ken Kurson is a citizen of New Jersey, Zoo	
7	Cruz is a citizen of New York, Ben Lawsky is a citizen of New York. Defendants Ripple, XRF	
8	Bradley Garlinghouse, Ron Will, Antoinette O'Gorman, Eric van Miltenburg, Susan Athey	
9	Christian Larsen, and Anja Manuel are citizens of California.	
10	DATED: November 16, 2018	
11	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP	
12	By: /s/Peter B. Morrison Peter B. Morrison	
13	Attorney for Defendants	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		